

THE FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE AND ITS APPLICATION  
REAL ANALYSIS AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

**Heyuan Yao**  
**Mentor: Yipeng Wang**

Directed Reading Program  
Department of Mathematics, Columbia University

# Part I

## THE STATEMENT IN LINEAR ALGEBRA

## Theorem 1

If  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space and  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear transformation, then exactly one of the following holds:

- ▶ For each vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $V$  there is a vector  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $V$  so that  $T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}$ . In other words:  $T$  is surjective (and so also bijective, since  $V$  is finite-dimensional).
- ▶  $\dim(\ker(T)) > 0$ .

## Part II

### DEFINITIONS AND THE THEOREM

## Definition 2 (Hilbert Space)

A linear space  $X$  over the field of real or complex numbers is called Euclidean if  $X \times X$  is equipped with a function  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  with values in the respective field such that:

- ▶  $(x, x) \geq 0$  and, in addition,  $(x, x) = 0$  only for  $x = 0$ ,
- ▶  $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$  for all  $x, y \in X$  (in the real case:  $(x, y) = (y, x)$ ),
- ▶  $(\alpha x + \beta y, z) = \alpha(x, z) + \beta(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all scalars  $\alpha, \beta$

A function with the stated properties is called an inner product. A complete Euclidean space is called a **Hilbert space**.

## Definition 3 (Compact Operator)

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Hilbert spaces. A linear operator  $K : X \rightarrow Y$  is called **compact** if it takes the unit ball to a set with compact closure.

## Theorem 4 (The Fredholm Alternative)

Let  $K$  be a compact operator on a complex or real Banach space  $X$ . Then

$$\text{Ker}(K-I)=0 \Leftrightarrow (K-I)(X)=X,$$

i.e., **EITHER** the equation

$$Kx-x = y$$

is uniquely solvable for all  $y \in X$ ,

**OR** for some vector  $y \in X$  it has no solutions and then the homogeneous equation

$$Kx-x = 0$$

has nonzero solutions.

## Part III

# APPLICATION: THE POISSON EQUATION

Let's consider the Poisson Equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } D \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

where  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$  and  $D$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We want to show  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$ ,  $\exists!$  weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$  solves the Poisson equation.

## SKETCH

STEP 1: WEAK SOLUTION

STEP 2: LAX-MILGRAM

$$\forall \phi \in W_0^{1,2}(D), \text{ we have } \int_D \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = \int_D \phi f$$

### Theorem 5 (Lax-Milgram)

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $B : H \times H \rightarrow H$  a bilinear map s.t.

- $B$  is bounded, that is,  $\exists K > 0$  s.t.  $|B[x, y]| \leq K\|x\|\|y\|$ ,  $\forall x, y \in H$ ;
- $B$  is coercive, that is,  $\exists c > 0$  s.t.  $B(x, x) \geq c\|x\|^2$ ,  $\forall x \in H$

If  $F \in H^*$  is any bounded linear functional then

$$\exists! w_F \in H \text{ s.t. } F(x) = B(w_F, x) \forall x \in H$$

According to Lax-Milgram, we have  $\forall g \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$ ,

$$-\Delta u + u = g$$

has a unique weak solution. Thus we can define

$$(-\Delta + I)^{-1} : \mathcal{L}^2(D) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(D)$$

## SKETCH

### STEP 3: COMPACTNESS OF $(-\Delta + I)^{-1}$

- ▶  $(-\Delta + I)^{-1} : \mathcal{L}^2(D) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(D)$  is continuous;
- ▶  $W_0^{1,2}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(D)$  is compact

### Theorem 6 (Rellich–Kondrachov theorem)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open, bounded Lipschitz domain, and let  $1 \leq p < n$ . Set

$$p^* = \frac{np}{n-p},$$

Then the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is continuously embedded in the  $\mathcal{L}^p$  space  $\mathcal{L}^{p^*}(\Omega)$  and is compactly embedded in  $\mathcal{L}^q(\Omega)$  for every  $1 \leq q < p$ .

- ▶  $(-\Delta + I)^{-1}$  is compact.

## SKETCH

STEP 4: FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE

STEP 5: REPHRASING

**EITHER** the equation

$$u - (-\Delta + I)^{-1}u = h$$

is uniquely weakly solvable for all  $h \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$ ,

**OR,**

$$u - (-\Delta + I)^{-1}u = 0$$

has non-trivial weak solutions.

Let's rephrase the result if we let  $f = (-\Delta + I)^{-1}h \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$ ,

**EITHER** the equation

$$-\Delta u = f$$

has unique weak solution for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$ ,

**OR,**

$$-\Delta u = 0$$

has non-trivial weak solutions.

## STEP 6: WEYL'S LEMMA AND THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

By Weyl's Lemma and strong maximum principle, we have

$$Ker(-\Delta) = 0$$

Thus the equation

$$-\Delta u = f$$

has unique weak solution for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(D)$ .

## REFERENCES

Bogachev, V. I., Smolyanov, O. G. (2020). Real and functional analysis. Springer International Publishing.

Evans, L. C. (2010). Partial differential equations (Vol. 19). American Mathematical Soc..